DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 076 698

TM 002 707

AUTHOR

Loadman, William E.; Mahan, James M.

TITLE

A Study of the Relationship Between the Rankings of

Supervising Teacher Effectiveness and Attitude Toward

Education.

PUB DATE

Feb 73

NOTE

15p.; Paper presented at annual meeting of American

Educational Research Association (New Orleans,

Louisiana, February 25-March 1, 1973)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

*Cooperating Teachers; *Educational Attitudes; Higher

Education; *Practicum Supervision; Preservice

Education; Rating Scales; Student Teachers; 'acher Attitudes; *Teacher Rating; Teacher Supervisi i;

Technical Reports

ABSTRACT

This study ascertained the relationship between rankings of 47 supervising classroom teachers and their attitudes toward education. Independent rankings of supervisory effectiveness were obtained from 47 classroom teachers, 91 student teachers, and 12 university personnel. Each classroom teacher completed two standardized attitude toward education scales which yielded four scores. The results indicated that teachers with progressive and favorable attitudes toward education tended to be perceived by student teachers as somewhat less effective supervisors. These results are of critical import to persons concerned with effective student teacher-classroom teacher interaction in field-based preservice programs. (Author)

FRIC

U S OEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS ODCUMENT HAS BEEN REPROOUCEO EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIG
INATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN
IONS STATEO DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

A STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETTEEN THE DANKINGS OF SUPER ISING TEACHER FORCETION OF SUPER ISING TEACHER FOUNTION

William E. Loadman Ph.D.
Nisonger Center
The Ohio State University
and
James M. Mahan Ph.D.
Field Implementation Center
Indiana University

Paper prepared for AERA meeting Tebruary 1973 in New Orleans, La.

Draft Copy: For discussion purposes only



A OUD OF HIS TLATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ANKINGS OF SUPERVISING FACHER FOR THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE SUPERVISION OF THE TOTAL PROPERTY O

William 3. Loadman

James M. Mahan

This study was promoted by a concern over the potential pairing of student teachers and elementary classroom teachers explusing differential attitudes toward education. The purpose of the study was to determine the relationship between the effectiveness of the supervising classroom teacher and the attitudes of the classroom and student teachers.

facilitated when persons are in agreement on the philosophical and psychological dimension in question. Classroom teachers are traditionally stereptyped as being more conservative than liberal. Attudent teachers are typically assumed to be moderate to liberal in their educational attitudes, but certainly more liberal than classroom teachers. If there is a discrepancy between the educational attitudes of these two groups of persons, is this disparity related to the student teacher's evaluation of the supervisory effectiveness of the classroom teacher?

that supervising teachers influence the attitudes and teaching performance of their student teachers. In general, the hypothesis was supported. The data analyzed by fee indicated that most student teachers shift their attitudes toward those of



their supervising teachers.

disappointing results in the use of student ratings of teacher effectiveness. They ascertained moderate correlations between perceived teacher effectiveness and skill and knowledge scores of the teachers. However, they argued that cognitive measures were only part of complex set of variables. Attitudinal measures were also important in determining teacher effectiveness. Than (1968) found that there were positive correlations between certain cognitive measures and certain attitudinal measures for women with respect to teacher effectiveness. This result didn't hold for some set.

PROCEDURE

This study is an outgrowth of a field based pre-service teacher education effort, designed to promote parity, relevance, and integration of theory with practice. These teachers were involved in a year-long teacher education program designed to:

(a) produce high quality teachers (from student teachers); (b) promote increased supervisory competence in the classroom teacher; (c) provide in depth clinical field apportunities for unversity personnel, and (d) increase the field relevance of methods instruction by integrating it with student teaching and charging implementation to a public school—unversity team.

This study was conducted in a small midwestern city in conjunction with the local school system and a large teacher



3

education institution. he sample for this study consisted of 91 elementary teachers, primarily female, 47 classroom teachers and 12 methods instructors.

he student teachers received methods instruction in the areas of math, social studies, language arts and science in the elementary schools concurrently with their year long student teaching experience. Feachers and university personnel both participated in methods instruction and supervisory activities.

In addition, the student teachers were rotated through at least two school buildings, three different grade levels and three different supervising teachers. Program observation/participation requirements resulted in brief student teacher involvement with 7 to 12 more supervising teachers. Each methods instructor had teaching and supervisory responsibilities in each of the three collaborating schools.

Beside working in the buildings, weekly seminars were scheduled for the classroom teachers for the purposes of developing increased expertise in student teacher supervision, additional classroom skills, and to interact and exchange ideas with other classroom teachers. Thus, by the end of the year, each participant was familiar with the instructional and supervisory, styles of classroom teachers, and with the personalities and instructional skills of the student teachers.

After the completed wear experience measures of educational attitude and supervising teacher effectiveness were obtained from the student teachers, classroom teachers (super-



wising teachers) and methods instructors. One attitude scale developed by Ferlinger and Kava (1959) yielded attitude toward education scores on the dimensions of progressive, traditional and total scores. This instrument was reported to have high reliability and validity coefficients and appeared to be appropriate for this study. A second scale was concerned with attitude loward education as a rocation and yielded a single score; this scale reported high reliability and validity coefficients. (Fermin & Di Festa 1962).

The names of all classroom teachers were placed on a sheet of paper. This listing was given to each project participant along with the instructions to rank order the top five classroom teachers with respect to their supervisory effectiveness.

All Responses were anonymous. The materials were collected and the sum of ranks for each classroom teacher based upon the responses of the student teachers, classroom teachers and methods instructors were computed. Eachers with the highest sum of ranks were thought to be the most effective supervisors of student teachers. Only a very few classroom teachers did not receive any ratings. Those teachers receiving few or no votes were thought to be meaker supervisors in the project.

To summarize, each classroom teacher had seven scores:

1) progressive attitude; 2) traditional attitude; 3) total
attitude toward education; 4) education as a vocation; 5) sum
of classroom teacher rankings; 6) sum of student teacher rankings; 7) sum of methods instructor rankings. Each student



teacher had a score on the four attitude measures.

RESULTS

The seven scores for the classroom teacher were submitted to a rank order correlation procedure. This procedure resulted in a 7x7 correlation matrix (See table 1). Considering the crudeness of the ranking scale there was high agreement among the three groups ranking the classroom teachers supervisory effectiveness ($r_{ct-st}=.77$, $r_{ct-mi}=.72$, $r_{st-mi}=.74$). Each group appeared to be able to consistently identify what they perceived as the strong and weak supervisors. There was also high agreement among the four attitude measures except for the slight negative correlation between the total and traditional attitude scores; all other correlations ranged between .49 and .82.

Rank Order Correlation Matrix Based on Classroom Teachers Scores

TABLE 1

	Traditional	Total	Vocational	Student Ranking	Teacher Ranking	Instructor Ranking
Progressive	*.60	*.67	*.82	*26	14	.15
Traditional		17	*.61	*27	*42	16
Total			*.49	12	.18	* .31
ocational				*34	*26	009
Student					* .77	* .72
Teacher						* .74
* sinigican N:47	tly greater t	han or	less than ze	ero with P	.05	



The most interesting regults occured when the correlations among the attitude and supervisory effectiveness scores were consistently negative. Nine of the twelve correlations were negative and five of the nine were significantly less than zero with P<.05. The correlations among the attitude measures based upon the responses of the student teachers are found in Table 2.

Table 2

Rank Order Correlation Matrix Based on Student Teacher Responses

Progressive	Traditional	Total * .65	Vocational 04
"raditional		*84	.19
Total			.17 .

* significantly greater than or less than zero with P..05, N=91 Responses from the students indicate the respective positive and negative relationship between total score and the progressive and traditional scores. The vocational score did not show any significant relationship with the other attitude scores for this group of subjects.

A one way analysis of variance was applied to each of the four attitude scores comparing the student teacher and classroom teacher responses (see table 3). A statistically significant difference was found between the two groups on the progresseive, traditional and total attitude toward education scales (P..Ol).

The student teachers responded significantly more positively than the classroom teachers on the progressive and total attitude scales while the classroom teachers responded significantly

Table 3

Comparison of Student Teacher and Classroom Teacher Responses on Attitude Measures Via Analysis of Variance

Progressive Attitude

Between 512.11 1 *13.18 Within 38.83 134
$$\bar{X}_{st}$$
=58.83 \bar{X}_{ct} =54.71

Traditional Attitude

$$x_{st} = 36.89$$
 $\overline{x}_{ct} = 45.42$

Total Attitude Score

$$x_{st}=44.95$$
 $x_{ct}=33.02$

Education as a Vocation

$$X_{st} = 50.45$$
 $X_{ct} = 52.02$

* F.99 (1, 125) = 6.84 -

Each row seve vould was briearly transformed to eliminate negative searce



more positively than the student teachers on the traditional attitude scale. These results support considerable conjecture and belief in the areas of teacher education.

DISCUSSION

Why would student teachers tend to perceive classroom teachers with make traditional or progressive attitudes toward education as not the more effective supervisors of student teachers? This question is especially perplexing when these student teachers express so many progressive feelings about pupils and teacher behavior on personal data forms completed prior to reporting to the school classrooms. Repeatedly, they make such statements as: (a) I will never use repressive or inconsiderate disciplinary tactics, (b) I want to be a guider of learning -a coordinator of learning experiences and not an expositor of information, (c) the most important thing in teaching is to provide individualized attention and instruction for every child, (d) multi-cultural awareness, processes of inquiry, and selfconcept formation are critical classroom concerns. These are progressive educational views not always expoused by the experienced teachers to whom the student teachers report. Yet, the student teachers eventually come to rate as very effective supervisors, teachers who do not highly support these progressive views. Teachers expousing highly traditional attitudes are also rated as the less effective supervisors.



indicated that student teachers do model after their supervising teacher and tend to reflect the beliefs and behaviors of that supervisor. However, in this program, the student teacher is deliberately assigned to three supervising teachers of differing educational philosophies and education approaches and observes others. The modeling syndrome can not be glibly used as a reason for the relationship. It would seem that there would be an equal chance for the student to model after the progressive or traditional teacher. In the final analysis the student teacher ends up being most impressed with the teacher who is not extremely progressive or traditional in her attitude but rather is at some point between the two extreme points on the continuum.

The typical student teacher is highly idealistic and anxious to make great inroads and modifications in the American educational system. This philosophy causes the student teacher to reject the attitudes, philosophies and methods of the highly traditional teacher. At the same time the inexperienced student teacher is seeking change and looks to the progressive teacher for assistance. The student teacher is suddenly confronted with philosophies and methods which she has never implemented and it may be that the student teacher just does not have the experience, the knowledge, the organized lesson plans, ideas for diversified classroom learning activities, and the disciplinary skills needed to implement the idealist and "guidance-oriented"

concept of classroom teaching. Early attempts to practice the concept often result in classroom confusion, disciplinary problems, and depressed classroom achievement. Such results are not unexpected when beginning teachers attempt to change quickly the traditional classroom atmospheres in which they are placed so often. Laxious and uncomfortable, the student teacher then turns to the supervising teacher for help. It is at this point that the well-organized, conservative supervising teacher can demonstrate long-practiced pupil control and institutional techniques that keep the teacher in the center of the learning process and restore the student teacher's faith in her leadership ability. The student teacher remembers this help was forthcoming, observes that it works, reflects over the fact that the new means are for a different educational end, and modifies her behavior to assume a middle of the road position. it is the middle of the road teacher, the one who can accommodate progressive educational ideas and balance these with traditional educational ideas who is seen as the most effective supervising teacher.

There is a basic assumption which transcends the above discussion. Namely that the teacher's espoused attitudes accurately reflect their behavioral manifestations. From personal observation, this was generally warranted on the part of the classroom teachers. However, the expressed attitudes of the student teachers were more progressive than their accompanying behaviors.

Thus thile the student teacher retains some of her idealism, (high progressive attitude scores) she is unable to accommodate the progressive teacher's positions. However, there was a confounding factor. A few of the progressive teachers in the study are not only non-traditional but us reganized and unstructured. These teachers may oppose lanning of lessons, assessment of pupil achievement, establishment of rules, etc. They operate under a philosophy of "it will all work itself out". Imposing structure through planning, evaluation, or data-based feedback in antithetical to their idea of a progressive, democratic classroom. Student teachers are thus expected to let their professional growth "work itself out". But the student teacher in university courses has been exhorted to be more analytical about teaching behaviors and learning results. Hence they do not perceive the laissez-faire, progressive, and permissive supervising teacher as an effective supervisor. Supervisory effectiveness is related to utilitariam assistance and feedback received and little was received.

The relationships indicated in this study might well be different in a setting where a higher percentage of teachers not only are progressive relative to educational attitudes but have thoughtfully constructed the plans, schedules, role definitions and goals needed to implement their ideas. There may be a tendenty for many teachers to believe progressive teaching requires less time, less work, and less evaluation. Our observation is that it requires more work -- and a logical structure.

Universities rarely prepare the progressive thinking teecher to translate progressive thought into 6 to 8 hours of daily with. The traditional teacher on the other hand is more comfortable with sturcture and teacher defined clarity and translates her beliefs into observable classroom activities and rules. The latter teacher has recipies, materials, and standards to pass on to the student teacher. More comfortable with structure, and more successful with a charted approach, the student teacher does not like being unable to make change but welcomes the recipies and defines them as effective supervision. This accomplation on the part of the student teacher probably results in the retaining of relatively progressive educational attitudes but behaviors that reflect a compromise between the two extremes.

In summary, the following things were ascertained:

- 1. The reported student teacher attitudes are more progressive than the classroom teacher attitudes
- 2. The reported classroom teacher attitudes are more traditional than the student teacher attitudes.
- 3. There is high agreement among the student teacher, classroom teacher and methods instructor groups with respect to identifying the effective (and ineffective) supervising teachers.
- 4. There was no difference between the reported attitudes of classroom teachers and student teachers with respect to education as a vocation.



5. Classroom teachers expousing highly traditional or highly progressive attitudes were seen as the less effective supervising teachers as witnessed by the consistent negative correlations among the sets of attitudes and supervisory measures.



BIBLICGRAPHY

Bendig, A.W. The relation of level of course achievement to students' instructor and course ratings in introductory psychology. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1953a, 13, 437-448.

Isaacson, R.L., McKeachie, W.J., & Milholland, J.E. Correlation of teacher personality variables and student ratings. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1963, 54, 110-117.

Mann, W.R. Changes in the level of attitude sophistication of college students as a measure of teacher effectiveness. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, 1968.

McKeachie, W.J. Student ratings of faculty: A research review. Improving College and University Teaching, 1957, 5, 4-8.

McKeachie, W.J., Mann W.R., & Lin, Yi-Guang. Student ratings of teacher effectiveness: Validity studies. American Educational Research Journal, May 1971, 8, 435-444.

McKeachie, W.J., & Solomon, D. Student ratings of instructors: A validity study. Journal of Educational Research, 1958, 51, 379-382.

Yee, A.H. Do cooperating teachers influence the attitudes of student teachers? Journal of Educational Psychology, 1969, 60, 327-332.

Shaw, M. and Wright, J. Scales for the Measurement of Attitudes, McGraw Hill, New York, 1968.